

This article was downloaded by:

On: 26 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597286>

Three-Dimensional Cell Cultures as a Model System to Evaluate the Biological Activity of Gemcitabine (2', 2'-Difluoro-2'-deoxycytidine)

Godefridus J. Peters^a; Evelien Smitskamp-Wilms^a; Gijsbert Veerman^a; Paulo E. Pizao^a; Veronique W. T. Ruiz van Haperen^a; Herbert M. Pinedo^a

^a Department of Oncology, Free University Hospital, Amsterdam, MB, The Netherlands

To cite this Article Peters, Godefridus J. , Smitskamp-Wilms, Evelien , Veerman, Gijsbert , Pizao, Paulo E. , van Haperen, Veronique W. T. Ruiz and Pinedo, Herbert M.(1995) 'Three-Dimensional Cell Cultures as a Model System to Evaluate the Biological Activity of Gemcitabine (2', 2'-Difluoro-2'-deoxycytidine)', *Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids*, 14: 3, 661 — 664

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/15257779508012446

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15257779508012446>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

THREE-DIMENSIONAL CELL CULTURES AS A MODEL SYSTEM
TO EVALUATE THE BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY OF
GEMCITABINE (2',2'-DIFLUORO-2'DEOXYCYTIDINE)

Godefridus J Peters*, Evelien Smitskamp-Wilms, Gijsbert Veerman,
Paulo E Pizao, Veronique WT Ruiz van Haperen, Herbert M Pinedo.

Department of Oncology, Free University Hospital,
PO Box 7057, 1007 MB Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Abstract. The cytotoxicity of Gemcitabine (dFdC) was 10 (ovarian cancer) to >10,000 (colon cancer) fold higher in monolayer compared to three-dimensional multilayer cell cultures. This selectivity was related to marked differences in dFdC activation and effects on ribonucleotides.

Gemcitabine (dFdC) is a cytidine analog currently under clinical evaluation, which has shown remarkable antitumor activity against ovarian and non-small cell lung cancer (partial response (PR) rates of 20-30%) but not against colon cancer (PR 4%)¹. The compound needs activation to the triphosphate dFdCTP which can be incorporated into DNA and RNA^{2,3,4}. In addition to inhibition of ribonucleotide reductase and dCMP deaminase^{5,6}, considerable changes in ribo- and deoxyribonucleotide pools have been observed^{2,7,8}. Initial preclinical *in vitro* evaluation was performed predominantly with leukemic cell lines^{2,9}. *In vivo* evaluation showed considerable activity against ovarian and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and variable activity against colon carcinoma^{7,9,10,11}, only partly correlating with *in vitro* sensitivity of cell lines. Since solid tumors grow in a three-dimensional structure we further evaluated dFdC activity and metabolism in a culture system¹², in which cells grow as three-dimensional multilayers and compared this with the two-dimensional monolayer system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS.

Cells were cultured as monolayers and multilayers in 96-wells microtiter plates with flat-bottom and "V"-shaped wells¹², respectively, and were exposed to dFdC for 24 hr; monolayers at one day, multilayers at 5 days after plating, followed by culture in drug-free medium for 4 days. Using the sulphorhodamide B (SRB) test, growth inhibition was determined¹² for the human ovarian cancer cell line A2780 and the human colon cancer cell lines SW620 and HT29. For monolayers the IC₅₀ value (50% growth inhibition when compared to untreated cultures and corrected for initial cell count) and for multilayers the EC₅₀ (concentration at which 50% of the cells in treated wells was present compared to untreated wells) was calculated¹². The metabolic effects of dFdC were determined by measurement of dFdCTP and that of normal nucleotides as described⁷.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Considerable differences in sensitivity were observed for the three cell lines (Table 1), with A2780 being the most sensitive cell line also when grown as multilayers. For the colon cancer cell lines almost no growth inhibition could be detected in multilayers, but in the A2780 cells dFdC was still cytotoxic. Both in mono- and multilayers of the A2780 cells the highest accumulation of the active metabolite dFdCTP was observed.

The concentrations of normal nucleotide pools were considerably lower in multilayers when compared to monolayers; dFdC caused major changes in these pools in both cell culture systems, which were cell line and concentration dependent. Exposure to 10 μ M dFdC caused a 3-4 fold decrease in CTP pools in the colon tumor cells which was accompanied by a 2-3-fold increase in UTP pools (Table 1). This is consistent with an inhibition of CTP synthetase. However, at 1 μ M dFdC only a 2-3-fold increase in UTP pools, but no decrease in CTP was observed in all cell lines. Interestingly,

dFdC also induced a 2-3-fold increase in ATP and GTP pools in all monolayers, but only in A2780 cells a similar increase was observed in multilayers. Also in xenografts from ovarian cancer the increase of ATP was

TABLE 1: Summary of dFdC cytotoxicity, dFdCTP accumulation and effect on normal nucleotides in mono- and multilayered cultures.

	A2780		HT29		SW620	
IC50 monolayers (nM)	6		26		36	
EC50/IC50 ratio	16.3		> 10,000		> 10,000	
dFdCTP accumulation ^a (pmol/10 ⁶ cells)	2306		1364		1269	
dFdCTP ratio mono/multi ^a	3.5		5.3		4.6	
UTP ratio mono-multi ^b	3.2		1.7		2.9	
CTP ratio mono-multi ^b	3.6		2.6		5.1	
ATP ratio mono-multi ^b	3.9		1.4		2.2	
	<u>mono</u>	<u>multi</u>	<u>mono</u>	<u>multi</u>	<u>mono</u>	<u>multi</u>
UTP concentration (%) ^c	204	252	460	167	230	177
CTP concentration (%) ^c	130	254	28	36	28	25
ATP concentration (%) ^c	181	200	350	132	199	142

Means of at least 3 separate experiments, with SE less than 20%.

^a, 24 hr exposure to an equimolar concentration of 1 μ M dFdC.

^b, ratio of concentrations in untreated monolayers compared to multilayers.

^c concentrations in treated cells (24 hr exposure to 1 μ M dFdC for A2780 and 10 μ M for HT29 and SW620) relative to untreated controls (\times 100%).

higher than in those from colon cancer⁷. It is noticeable that changes in ribonucleotide pools have not been reported in other studies^{2,8}, but may be responsible for the observed differences between mono- and multilayer cultures. Also for other antimetabolites and other investigational and standard drugs we have observed differences in metabolism and sensitivity between the monolayers and multilayers^{12,13}, which are in favor for the multilayer system to predict selective chemosensitivity in solid tumors.

In conclusion, dFdC shows a considerably different cytotoxicity pattern in cells grown in a three-dimensional structure compared to the two-dimensional monolayer cultures. This is accompanied by remarkable changes in its metabolism and the effect on normal nucleotide metabolism. It is

anticipated that the multilayer system may have a better predictive value for *in vivo* systems than the monolayer system.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This study was supported by grant IKA-VU-90-19 from the Dutch Cancer Society and by a grant from the "Platform Alternatieven voor Dierproeven".

REFERENCES

1. Lund, B.; Kristjanssen, P.E.G.; Hansen, H.H. Cancer Treat. Rev. **1993**, *19*, 45-55.
2. Heinemann, V.; Hertel, L.W.; Grindey, G.B.; Plunkett, W. Cancer Res. **1988**, *48*, 4024-4031.
3. Huang, P.; Chubb, S.; Hertel, L.W.; Grindey, G.B.; Plunkett, W. Cancer Res. **1991**, *51*, 6110-6117.
4. Ruiz Van Haperen, V.W.T.; Veerman, G.; Vermorken, J.B.; Peters, G.J. Biochem. Pharmacol. **1993**, *46*, 762-766.
5. Heinemann, V.; Xu, Y.Z.; Chubb, S.; Sen, A.; Hertel, L.W.; Grindey, G.B.; Plunkett, W. Mol. Pharmacol. **1990**, *38*, 567-572.
6. Xu, Y.-Z.; Plunkett, W. Biochem. Pharmacol. **1992**, *44*, 1819-1827.
7. Ruiz van Haperen, V.W.T.; Veerman, G.; Boven, E.; Noordhuis, P.; Vermorken, J.B.; Peters, G.J. Biochem. Pharmacol. **1994**, in press.
8. Shewach, D.S.; Hahn, T.M.; Chang, E.; Hertel, L.W.; Lawrence, T.S. Cancer Res. **1994**, *54*, 3218-3223.
9. Hertel, L.W.; Boder, G.B.; Kroin, J.S.; Rinzel, S.M.; Poore, G.A.; Todd, G.C.; Grindey, G.B. Cancer Res. **1990**, *50*, 4417-4422.
10. Boven, E.; Schipper, H.; Erkelens, C.A.M.; Hatty, S.A.; Pinedo, H.M. Brit. J. Cancer **1993**, *68*, 52-56.
11. Braakhuis, B.J.M.; Van Dongen, G.M.A.S.; Vermorken, J.B.; Snow, G.B. Cancer Res. **1991**, *51*, 211-214.
12. Pizao, P.E.; Peters, G.J.; Van Ark-Otte, J.; Smets, L.A.; Smitskamp-Wilms, E.; Winograd, B.; Pinedo, H.M.; Giaccone, G. Eur. J. Cancer **1993**, *29A*, 1566-1573.
13. Smitskamp-Wilms, E.; Pinedo, H.M.; Westerhof, G.R.; Smid, K.; Van der Wilt, C.L.; Jansen, G.; Peters, G.J. Proc. Amer. Assoc. Cancer Res. **1994**, *35*, 302 (Abstract 1795).